

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL ITS RESPONSE TO
COURT ORDER (DKT. 784)
REGARDING PATENT CLAIMS**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 2 certain information in its Response to Court Order (Dkt. 784) Regarding Patent Claims
 3 (“Waymo’s Response”).

4 Having considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been
 5 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the
 6 documents listed below:

7 Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal
8 Waymo’s Response	Highlighted in Blue
9 Exhibit 2 (’936 Patent Infringement Contentions)	Entire Document
10 Exhibit 3 (document produced by Uber in litigation and designated AEO)	Entire Document

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
 14 Dated: _____, 2017

15
 16 _____
 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
 United States District Court Judge